

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: **Aviad ZLOTNICK**

Art Unit: 2625

Application No.: 09/902,733

Conf. No. 9520

Examiner: Gregory M. DESIRE

Filed: July 12, 2001

Washington, D.C.

For: EFFICIENCY AND SPEED IN VERIFICATION OF RECOGNITION RESULTS

Atty.'s Docket: ZLOTNICK=2

Date: January 17, 2006

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop **AF**
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314



RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2625

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a ☐ Amendment ☒ **REPLY: AMENDMENT AND REMARKS** in the above-identified application.

☐ Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

☒ No additional fee is required.

☐ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	30	MINUS	** 33		0	x 25	\$		x 50	\$
INDEP.	3	MINUS	*** 3		0	x 100	\$		x 200	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM						+ 180	\$		+ 360	\$
						ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL		\$	TOTAL	

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

☒ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

☐ It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 60.00

☐ Second - \$ 225.00

☐ Third - \$ 510.00

☐ Fourth - \$ 795.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

☐ First - \$ 120.00

☐ Second - \$ 450.00

☐ Third - \$ 1020.00

☐ Fourth - \$ 1590.00

Month After Time Period Set

☐ Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on .

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$.

☐ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$.

☐ A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

By:
Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963



RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.118
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2625

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY. DOCKET: ZLOTNICK=2

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 2625
)	
Aviad ZLOTNICK)	Examiner: G. M. DESIRE
)	
Appln. No.: 09/902,733)	Washington, D.C.
)	
Date Filed or 102(e) date:)	
July 12, 2001)	Confirmation No. 9520
)	
For: EFFICIENCY AND SPEED)	January 17, 2006
IN VERIFICATION OF)	
RECOGNITION RESULTS)	

RESPONSE

Mail Stop **AF**
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to an Official Action dated November 17, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits the following remarks. This application contains claims 1-3, 5-14, 16-25 and 27-33, all of which were rejected in the present Official Action. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks Examiner Desire for the courtesy of a personal interview with Applicant's representative, Sanford T. Colb (Reg. No. 26,856), held in the USPTO on January 12, 2006. At the interview, Mr. Colb argued the patentability of independent claim 1 over the cited art